UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,052	03/31/2004	Thomas A. Piazza	42P19136	9615
45209 INTEL/BSTZ	7590 10/22/200	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			CRAWFORD, JACINTA M	
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/816,052	PIAZZA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	JACINTA CRAWFORD	2020	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	•	ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	d	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	aucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	,	•	J
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Displayers Statement(s) 		i condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	F 10/30/00/ Fapel No(8).		
/Kee M Tung/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2628			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue regarding independent claims 1, 11, 18, and 23 on pages 7-9 of the after-final amendment that the prior art cited does not teach the limitations of the claims, more specifically, "to block a thread from dispatching to the graphics engine" [claim 1]. However, as stated in the previous Office Action, regarding independent claims 1 and 23, Rosenbluth teaches signaling for threads to begin within a pipestage [0082]. A thread does not begin until this signal is received. This is to maintaining strict sequential ordering of the execution of the threads in a given stage [0083]. Rosenbluth may not explicitly use the term "dispatch," however, it is obvious that the threads are dispatched in a sense a thread is not provided for execution until signaled. Therefore, a thread not "released" within a pipestage until ready to begin execution within the pipestage is interpreted as "blocking a thread from dispatching to the graphics engine." Regarding independent claims 11 and 18, Chrysos discloses threads or instructions being in-flight from the time it is dispatched until retires or aborts. A bit is used to indicate an "in-flight" thread and remains asserted until the thread aborts or retires (column 14, line 53 thru column 15, line 3). This hinders or "blocks" other instructions or threads from being dispatched and executed. Therefore, it is also interpreted that Chrysos' teaching of not "releasing" a thread until ready for execution by the processor is considered "blocking a thread from dispatching."